Claims Legal Services

Claims Committee March 28, 2024 Jay Adams, Chief Insurance Officer



Data Retrieval and Analysis by

- John Cipolla, Director Claims Vendor & System Mgt
- Christine Maddox, Manager Legal Billing



What is the Claims Legal Services contract utilized for?

- Citizens engages outside law firms to provide representation in claims-related pre-suit and litigation matters
 - Citizens receives suits from First and Third Party claimants
 - Citizens staff attorneys act in an advisory role to the Claims department and do not appear in court on behalf of Citizens
- Panel is comprised of 112 outside defense firms, with approximately 1,000 attorneys, providing legal representation in the following areas
 - First Party Property
 - Third Party Liability
 - Subrogation
 - Appeals



What is the history of the Claims Legal Services contract?

- December 15, 2021, Board of Governors approved initial panel contract
 - Provided \$500 million contract approval for the initial 5-year term
 - Agreed to provide spend authority in \$50 million increments as needed over the contract term
 - Required more transparency and accountability of Legal spend by Claims
 - Incremental approval documents any potential future requests for additional increases in overall contract spend



How much spend authority has been granted by the Board against the \$500 million contract approval?

- Total of \$300 million spend approved by Board to date
 - December 15, 2021:
 - July 13, 2022:
 - December 22, 2022:
 - March 29, 2023:
 - September 27, 2023:
 - December 6, 2023:

- \$50 million
 - \$50 million
- \$50 million
- \$50 million
- \$50 million
- \$50 million



How has Citizens incurred the Legal expenses during the contract period?

Year	Month	PIF	Pending Litigation	Fees*			
2022	Feb	792,616	17,725	\$5,785,718.60			
2022	Mar	817,926	18,115	\$6,556,057.94			
2022	Apr	851,066	18,558	\$6,498,089.53			
2022	May	883,333	18,898	\$6,763,133.37			
2022	June	931,957	19,236	\$7,487,900.64			
2022	July	994,456	20,806	\$7,331,711.52			
2022	Aug	1,029,829	20,468	\$9,361,644.64			
2022	Sept	1,071,850	20,927	\$9,669,797.70			
2022	Oct	1,111,283	20,833	\$10,376,969.15			
2022	Nov	1,126,319	20,813	\$7,617,890.07			
2022	Dec	1,145,811	20,863	\$8,867,051.11			
2023	Jan	1,167,579	20,703	\$13,335,467.46			
2023	Feb	1,193,725	20,555	\$11,171,285.79			
2023	Mar	1,239,396	20,203	\$12,957,826.09			
2023	April	1,272,815	19,868	\$13,158,730.23			
2023	May	1,304,332] 9,576	\$14,154,532.41			
2023	June	1,317,174	19,539	\$14,221,564.99			
2023	July	1,348,834	19,484	\$12,480,509.30			
2023	Aug	1,377,425	19,010	\$14,758,643.99			
2023	Sep	1,407,805	18,577	\$14,618,550.28			
2023	Oct			\$13,972,054.66			
	Total Spend for Current Contract \$221,146,088.87**						
*Fees include firm fees and expenses only, other vendor expenses have been removed							
**Total contract spend excludes a portion of February 2022 covered under the previous panel							

contacts



Why are the Legal fees increasing at such a fast pace?

- This is a multifaceted issue with many contributing factors such as
 - Pending litigation increased 25% in the first year of the contract due to the rising PIF and storm activity
 - The new increased contract rates went into effect during this period
 - Increased litigation corresponds to a sharp increase in legal billing invoices submitted
 - Created a bubble for the legal billing team with increased efforts to reduce pending invoices
 - Catastrophe matters were not included in the spend request to the Board



What caused the pending litigation matters to increase by 25% in the first year?

- Attorneys filing more cases post pandemic
- SB 76 for Notice of Intent effective July 1, 2021
 - Some carriers took the position that it impacted all litigation as of the effective date
- Weather events



What caused the pending litigation matters to increase by 25% in the first year?

- Weather events and the associated litigation greatly impacted the litigation pending
- Large volume of litigation
 originates from prior years

Weather Events With Litigation							
Event	Year	2020	2021	2022	2023		
Irma	2017	3,643	1,949	1,157	36		
TS Gordon	2018	40	32	17	6		
Michael	2018	31	27	6	1		
Dorian	2019	14	26	8	1		
TS Eta	2020	1	2,601	2,540	495		
TS Isaias	2020	8	44	12			
TS Sally	2020	10	164	33	10		
Sally	2020	1	62	45	4		
Hail	2021		5	33	14		
TS Elsa	2021		42	191	36		
TS Fred	2021		5	47	5		
Hail	2022			77	133		
TD One	2022			140	438		
lan	2022			13	2,011		
Nicole	2022				178		
		3,748	<mark>4,</mark> 957	4,319	3,368		

Note: Weather events that generated 50 or more suits as of October 2023 YTD



What is the impact of the contracts overlapping?

- Rates are accrued based on the date of the assignment to Defense Counsel until the matter is closed
 - Prior contracted rates apply prior to February 3, 2022
 - New contract rates apply starting February 3, 2022
 - Maximum partner rate increased 10%
 - Maximum associate rate increased 15%
- Rates vary amongst the firms based on the discounts they bid in the solicitation process
 - Rates are not tied to usage/assignment volume



What is the impact of the contracts overlapping?

				% Pending				
	Pending	Count on Prior	Count on Current	on Current	Amount		Firm Invoices	Firm Invoices
Period	Litigation	Rates	Rates	Rate	Submitted	Amount Paid	Submitted	Reviewed
Jan-22	17,379	17,379	-	0	\$7,418,949.36	\$8,205,006.84	15,091	14,833
Feb-22	17,725	16,956	769	4%	\$6,914,225.72	\$6,285,555.20	13,905	11,468
Mar-22	18,115	16,315	1,800	10%	\$9,419,888.61	\$6,556,057.94	18,045	13,906
Apr-22	18,558	15,712	2,846	15%	\$8,578,049.32	\$6,498,089.53	15,370	13,650
May-22	18,898	15,083	3,815	20%	\$10,216,441.08	\$6,763,133.37	18,446	12,975
Jun-22	19,236	14,425	4,811	25%	\$10,109,243.90	\$7,487,900.64	17,999	14,138
Jul-22	19,703	13,789	5,914	30%	\$9,668,467.67	\$7,331,711.52	17,318	13,820
Aug-22	20,053	13,076	6,977	35%	\$10,943,330.00	\$9,361,644.64	19,293	16,808
Sep-22	20,478	12,464	8,014	39%	\$9,683,445.14	\$9,669,797.70	17,172	16,459
Oct-22	20,390	11,657	8,733	43%	\$11,445,744.48	\$10,376,969.15	19,593	19,484
Nov-22	20,378	11,089	9,289	46%	\$11,818,842.64	\$7,617,890.07	19,556	15,417
Dec-22	20,379	10,460	9,919	49%	\$11,681,591.67	\$8,867,051.11	20,062	14,499
Jan-23	20,186	9,811	10,375	51%	\$10,505,593.62	\$13,336,426.86	18,621	24,298
Feb-23	20,047	9,169	10,878	54%	\$10,356,581.55	\$11,171,285.79	17,932	19,190
Mar-23	19,716	8,462	11,254	57%	\$12,771,681.57	\$12,957,826.09	20,700	21,407
Apr-23	19,420	7,846	11,574	60%	\$11,505,553.04	\$13,158,730.23	17,544	26,076
May-23	19,141	7,273	11,868	62%	\$12,524,663.16	\$14,154,532.41	19,538	18,281
Jun-23	19,111	6,697	12,414	65%	\$12,758,569.73	\$14,221,564.99	18,859	20,390
Jul-23	19,028	6,267	12,761	67%	\$11,987,589.89	\$12,480,509.30	18,170	18,072
Aug-23	18,942	5,816	13,126	69%	\$11,874,344.72	\$14,758,643.99	17,882	19,513
Sep-23	18,755	5,422	13,333	71%	\$14,667,065.32	\$14,618,550.28	20,190	22,569
Oct-23	18,533	4,994	13,539	73%	\$12,996,600.27	\$17,115,415.77	18,455	20,399
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								



How did reducing the pending invoices increase the overall spend?

				% Pending				
	Pending	Count on Prior	Count on Current	on Current	Amount		Firm Invoices	Firm Invoices
Period	Litigation	Rates	Rates	Rate	Submitted	Amount Paid	Submitted	Reviewed
Jan-22	17,379	17,379	-	0	\$7,418,949.36	\$8,205,006.84	15,091	14,833
Feb-22	17,725	16,956	769	4%	\$6,914,225.72	\$6,285,555.20	13,905	11,468
Mar-22	18,115	16,315	1,800	10%	\$9,419,888.61	\$6,556,057.94	18,045	13,906
Apr-22	18,558	15,712	2,846	15%	\$8,578,049.32	\$6,498,089.53	15,370	13,650
May-22	18,898	15,083	3,815	20%	\$10,216,441.08	\$6,763,133.37	18,446	12,975
Jun-22	19,236	14,425	4,811	25%	\$10,109,243.90	\$7,487,900.64	17,999	14,138
Jul-22	19,703	13,789	5,914	30%	\$9,668,467.67	\$7,331,711.52	17,318	13,820
Aug-22	20,053	13,076	6,977	35%	\$10,943,330.00	\$9,361,644.64	19,293	16,808
Sep-22	20,478	12,464	8,014	39%	\$9,683,445.14	\$9,669,797.70	17,172	16,459
Oct-22	20,390	11,657	8,733	43%	\$11,445,744.48	\$10,376,969.15	19,593	19,484
Nov-22	20,378	11,089	9,289	46%	\$11,818,842.64	\$7,617,890.07	19,556	15,417
Dec-22	20,379	10,460	9,919	49%	\$11,681,591.67	\$8,867,051.11	20,062	14,499
Jan-23	20,186	9,811	10,375	51%	\$10,505,593.62	\$13,336,426.86	18,621	24,298
Feb-23	20,047	9,169	10,878	54%	\$10,356,581.55	\$11,171,285.79	17,932	19,190
Mar-23	19,716	8,462	11,254	57%	\$12,771,681.57	\$12,957,826.09	20,700	21,407
Apr-23	19,420	7,846	11,574	60%	\$11,505,553.04	\$13,158,730.23	17,544	26,076
May-23	19,141	7,273	11,868	62%	\$12,524,663.16	\$14,154,532.41	19,538	18,281
Jun-23	19,111	6,697	12,414	65%	\$12,758,569.73	\$14,221,564.99	18,859	20,390
Jul-23	19,028	6,267	12,761	67%	\$11,987,589.89	\$12,480,509.30	18,170	18,072
Aug-23	18,942	5,816	13,126	69%	\$11,874,344.72	\$14,758,643.99	17,882	19,513
Sep-23	18,755	5,422	13,333	71%	\$14,667,065.32	\$14,618,550.28	20,190	22,569
Oct-23	18,533	4,994	13,539	73%	\$12,996,600.27	\$17,115,415.77	18,455	20,399
						•		



How did reducing the pending invoices increase the overall spend?

- Invoice volume continues to increase
 - 2021 had 195K invoices submitted
 - 2022 had 275K invoices submitted
 - 2023 had 320K invoices submitted



What impacts are catastrophe matters having on the total budget?

Sum of				
Amount	CAT			
Period	Yes	No	Grand Total	% CAT
202202	\$3,160,531.88	\$2,625,186.72	\$5,785,718.60	55%
202203	\$3,600,237.96	\$2,955,819.98	\$6,556,057.94	55%
202204	\$3,531,889.35	\$2,966,200.18	\$6,498,089.53	54%
202205	\$3,374,314.93	\$3,388,818.44	\$6,763,133.37	50%
202206	\$4,231,405.23	\$3,256,495.41	\$7,487,900.64	57%
202207	\$3,666,321.22	\$3,665,390.30	\$7,331,711.52	50%
202208	\$5,108,417.52	\$4,251,846.62	\$9,360,264.14	55%
202209	\$5,043,259.71	\$4,626,478.79	\$9,669,738.50	52%
202210	\$5,621,799.34	\$4,755,169.81	\$10,376,969.15	54%
202211	\$3,955,561.89	\$3,662,328.18	\$7,617,890.07	52%
202212	\$4,545,893.25	\$4,321,230.76	\$8,867,124.01	51%
202301	\$6,937,978.90	\$6,398,447.96	\$13,336,426.86	52%
202302	\$5,688,325.69	\$5,482,960.10	\$11,171,285.79	51%
202303	\$6,448,987.80	\$6,508,838.29	\$12,957,826.09	50%
202304	\$6,514,844.40	\$6,643,885.83	\$13,158,730.23	50%
202305	\$6,987,703.53	\$7,166,828.88	\$14,154,532.41	49%
202306	\$6,765,669.31	\$7,455,895.68	\$14,221,564.99	48%
202307	\$6,011,867.84	\$6,468,641.46	\$12,480,509.30	48%
202308	\$7,094,458.77	\$7,664,185.22	\$14,758,643.99	48%
202309	\$7,234,409.53	\$7,384,140.75	\$14,618,550.28	49%
202310	\$8,472,083.70	\$8,643,332.07	\$17,115,415.77	49%
202311	\$4,535,702.36	\$4,385,419.19	\$8,921,121.55	51%
Grand Total	\$118,531,664.11	\$114,677,540.62	\$233,209,204.73	51%



What considerations are being utilized to forecast the total Legal spend over the remaining contract term?

- Factors utilized in forecasting
 - Pending litigation counts
 - New suit counts
 - Impact of recent legislative statutes
 - Impact of depopulation on policy counts
 - Impact of new DOAH process
 - Varying contract rates among firms
 - Impact of future storms



What will impact the pending litigation counts?

- Litigation pending is slowly declining
 - 19,938 January 2023 pending
 - 18,080 November 2023 pending
- Settlement methods November 2023 YTD

Method	# of Cases
ADR	833
Final Summary Judgement	297
Proposal for Settlement	356
Trial	73
Voluntary Settlement	6,184
Court Dismissal	84
Voluntary Withdrawal	2,948

Note: This chart only includes litigation settlement methods with the largest number of results.



What will impact the new suit counts?

- SB 2A will reduce the number of new suits
 - Repeal of the one-way attorney fee statute
 - Abolishment of Assignment of Benefits
- Continued depopulation efforts will reduce the Policy-In-Force counts further reducing Citizens exposure to new claims/litigation
 - Reduction of 182,000 policies through November 2023 YTD
- SB 2A codified binding Arbitration as an alternative dispute resolution methodology
 - Citizens endorses policies for DOAH process effective October 1, 2023



What impact will the varying contract rates have?

- Each month the percentage of invoices submitted under the new rates continues to increase the total legal spend paid
- Older matters are closing under the old contracted rates which has helped to drive down the total legal spend paid
- Difficult to determine the rate impact based on old versus new rate structure for firms

				01 - II
				% Pending
	Pending	Count on Prior	Count on Current	on Current
Period	Litigation	Rates	Rates	Rate
Jan-22	17,379	17,379	-	0
Feb-22	17,725	16,956	769	4%
Mar-22	18,115	16,315	1,800	10%
Apr-22	18,558	15,712	2,846	15%
May-22	18,898	15,083	3,815	20%
Jun-22	19,236	14,425	4,811	25%
Jul-22	19,703	13,789	5,914	30%
Aug-22	20,053	13,076	6,977	35%
Sep-22	20,478	12,464	8,014	39%
Oct-22	20,390	11,657	8,733	43%
Nov-22	20,378	11,089	9,289	46%
Dec-22	20,379	10,460	9,919	49%
Jan-23	20,186	9,811	10,375	51%
Feb-23	20,047	9,169	10,878	54%
Mar-23	19,716	8,462	11,254	57%
Apr-23	19,420	7,846	11,574	60%
May-23	19,141	7,273	11,868	62%
Jun-23	19,111	6,697	12,414	65%
Jul-23	19,028	6,267	12,761	67%
Aug-23	18,942	5,816	13,126	69%
Sep-23	18,755	5,422	13,333	71%
Oct-23	18,533	4,994	13,539	73%



What impact will future storms have?

- Citizens does not include weather events into the Board approved contract spend
 - Hard to predict the severity/geography
 - Including these costs would grossly overstate the contract spend if no event occurred
- Past events continue to generate new litigation until the statute is reached for breach of contract
 - Anticipate that this trend will continue for future events



What is our current projection for a budget shortfall under the Board approved spend?

- Current forecast is a shortfall between \$100 \$200 million over the contracted 5 year term based on
 - Challenges noted above
 - New legislative initiatives
 - Citizens new DOAH process
- Incurred \$118.5 million in weather event spend, through November 2023 YTD, which was not included in the contract spend authority



Questions

